School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2022/23 s.y.

School-based Grant - Programme Report

Contact Telephone No.: 26922161 **Staff-in-charge:** Chau Fung Mei A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 31 (including A. 14 CSSA recipients, B.12 SFAS full-grant recipients and C.5 under school's discretionary quota). Actual no. of Name of Method(s) of participating Average partner/ Period/Date Actual evaluation (e.g. eligible students # attendance service Remarks if any (e.g. students' test, questionnaire, activity held expenses (\$) *Name / Type of activity learning and affective outcome) rate provider (if А R С etc) applicable) Students reflected that they were able to Homework Tutorial Class ct 2022-May 2023 catch up their assignment and had more 2 5 \$12.000 4 90% Interview Alumni faith in school. Students reflected that they knew more 2/10/22-30/10/22 Learning Effective 10 12 \$15.675 5 100% Interview Tutors about their own learning method. Students appreciated alumni came back and lovember 2022 & support them in exam and they said they 5 8 5 \$32,025 Exam Revision Class 90% Ouestionnaire Alumni could revise more effectively. June 2023 Students appreciated the program, and they art from Oct 22 to esthetic Development in 2 \$6.300 Coach 2 90% Ouestionnaire enjoyed the activities. February 23 Dance Class Total no. of activities: 248 134 148 @No. of man-times Total Expenses \$66,000 530 **Total no. of man-times

IMC endorsed on 10 Nov 202

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

Note:

Name of School:

* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a " \checkmark " against the most appropriate box.	Improved		No		Not	
	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning	\checkmark					
b) Students' study skills	\checkmark					
c) Students' academic achievement	\checkmark					
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom		\checkmark				
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		\checkmark				
Personal and Social Development				_		
f) Students' self-esteem		\checkmark				
g) Students' self-management skills		\checkmark				
h) Students' social skills		\checkmark				
i) Students' interpersonal skills	\checkmark					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others	\checkmark					
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling	\checkmark					
1) Students' outlook on life		\checkmark				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and	\checkmark					
social development						
Community Involvement	1	1		1	1	-
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	\checkmark					
o) Students' sense of belonging	\checkmark					
p) Students' understanding on the community		\checkmark				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement	-	\checkmark				

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)

unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify:);
the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
Others (Please specify):

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

