School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2021/22 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School:	Bap	<u>tist</u>	Lui	Ming	Choi	Secondar	y School

Project Coordinator: <u>Chau Fung Mei</u>

Contact Telephone No.: 2692 2161 / 64009953

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this programme is <u>178</u> (including A.<u>13</u> CSSA recipients, B <u>91</u> SFAS full-grant recipients and C.<u>74</u> under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

eligible e		e attenda nce	Period/Date	Actual expenses (\$) Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)		Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)		
2	4	5	90%	November 2021	\$5,175	Questionnaire	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
2	10	8	90%	Start at 1/6 to 26/6 (Zoom and campus study)	\$28,800	Questionnaire	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
2	4	5	90%	Oct 2021-Dec 2021	\$4,125	Interview	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
2	8	9	90%	April 22- July 22	\$9,300	Interview	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
5	65	47	100%	12/10-30/10 1/3-21/5/2022	\$15,000	Interview	Tutors	Students appreciated the program and they enjoyed the activities.	
13	-	74 8		Total Expenses	\$62,400			2,400	
	2 2 2 5	particip eligit studen	participating eligible students # A B C 2 4 5 2 10 8 2 4 5 2 8 9 5 65 47	participating eligible students # Averag e attenda nce rate A B C C 90% 2 4 5 90%	Period/Date activity held Period/Date activity held	Period/Date activity held Period/Date activity held Actual expenses (\$)	Period/Date activity held Actual expenses (\$) Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Period/Date Actual expenses (\$) Method(\$) of partner/service provider (if applicable)	

Note:

^{*} Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Participation count: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of participation count: the aggregate of (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the 10% discretionary quota (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a "√" against the most appropriate	Iı	nproved		No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness	1	1		1		
a) Students' motivation for learning	✓					
b) Students' study skills	✓					
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom		√				
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem		✓				
g) Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills		✓				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others	✓					
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development		√				
Community Involvement				_		
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities		√				
o) Students' sense of belonging	✓					
p) Students' understanding on the community	√					
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		√				



Comments on the project conducted Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to decide on the 10% discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes; the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

We collected mostly positive feedback from most students, coaches and helpers. Most students shared that they appreciated the alumni and pre-exam class especially this year all tutorials were held on Zoom in the 2nd term. Most students appreciated the Pre examination class and reflected that they will join the activity next year. Alumni reflected that they appreciated the tutorial and the pre-examination class and they had no faith to try online but after 1-2 trials they had no problems and they enjoyed helping students a lot in their study. The learning style was appreciated by students and teachers and parents. Students appreciated the program and they enjoyed the activities. Finally they could join the interschool competition.