School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2015/16 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Project Coordinator: Chau Fung Mei Contact Telephone No.: 2692 2161 / 9226 8776

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this programme is 118 (including A. 13 CSSA recipients, B. 78 SFAS full-grant recipients and C.27 under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	C							
1.Pre-Exam Class 1 st Term	2	17	2	90%	30/11,1-3/12,7/12 -10/12,14/12-17/1 2	11,400	Questionnaire	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
2.Pre-Exam Class 2 nd Term	2	15	1	90%	23/5-26/5,30/5-31 /52/6,6-8/6,13/6	23,430	Questionnaire	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
3. Tutorial Class 1st term	2	13	5	90%	7/10,21/10,28/10, 18/12	3,660	Interview	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
4. Tutorial Class 2nd term	2	13	5	90%	10/2,3/3,10/3,17/ 3,24/3,31/3,14/4,2 1/4,28/4,5/4,12/4	5,100	Interview	Alumni	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	
5. Aesthetic and Sports Development	3	14	2	95%	5/10-30/11 1/4-12/5	24,000	Questionnaire	Tutors	Students appreciated the program and they enjoyed the activities.	
6.Learning Effectively	2	6	12	98%	18/12,21/12,22/12	9,000	Questionnaire	Edvenue Limited	Students reflected that they knew more about effective learning method.	

7. Summer Course				XXX	11/7,12/7,14/7,15 /7,18/7,19/7,20/7, 25/8/2016		Questionnaire	YWCA	Not Yet Applies
Total no. of activities: 7									
@No. of participation counts	13	78	27						
**Total no. of participation	118			Total Expenses	81,000				
counts					·				

Note:

^{*} Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Participation count: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of participation count: the aggregate of (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the 10% discretionary quota (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

		Improved			No		Not
	Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Lea	arning Effectiveness						
a)	Students' motivation for learning	✓					
b)	Students' study skills	✓					
c)	Students' academic achievement		✓				
d)	Students' learning experience outside classroom		✓				
e)	Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Per	rsonal and Social Development						
f)	Students' self-esteem		✓				
g)	Students' self-management skills		✓				
h)	Students' social skills		✓				
i)	Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j)	Students' cooperativeness with others	✓					
k)	Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
1)	Students' outlook on life		✓				
m)	Your overall view on students' personal and social development		✓				
Co	mmunity Involvement						
n)	Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities		✓				
o)	Students' sense of belonging	✓					
p)	Students' understanding on the community	✓					
q)	Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

D. Comments on the project conducted

Prol	Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project					
(You	(You may tick more than one box)					
✓	unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);					
✓	difficult to decide on the 10% discretionary quota;					
✓	eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;					
	the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;					
	tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;					
✓	the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;					
	complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;					
	the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;					
	Others (Please specify):					

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

We collected mostly positive feedback from most students, coach and helpers. Most parents shared that they appreciated the alumni and pre-exam class. Most students appreciated the Pre examination class and reflected that they will join the activity next year. Alumni reflected that they appreciated the tutorial and the pre-examination class which really helped students a lot in their study.

Students from sports, music, dance, such as basketball, football orchestra and western dance appreciated the program and they enjoyed the activities.